




7 - 12 Cases - Effected in Logic & Language – Truth in Court.

		
Logic Law	2000 + FREE BOOKS >> Shakespeare's plays	Force of Destiny
Shakespeare Concordance	Forest of Rhetoric - Silva Rhetoricae	Fallacies of Logic

Click on pictures or text for each of 6 free sites.

**Cabot Financial Ltd, with >>> Hassle – professionally negligent
Wright & Hassall LLP relying on
alleged Sainsbury's Credit card**

5

12 Cases - Preamble – Their Self PRAISE – Their Claim – The Law

Index of Sections, citing from separate bundles

7 - 12 Cases - Effected in Logic & Language – Truth in Court.....	50
W v BCM Ltd. CLCC – Justice Centre.....	51
Sworn testimony defeated by 1 question using contradictio in adiecto.....	51
Defendant's cost for day, Witness, Solicitor & Barrister, estimated £5k.....	51
W v A World Bank, signed Non-Disclosure out of court.....	51
Automated telephone Harassment for £50.....	51
Claim not issued, Settled for about £1600.....	51
W v TfL . Discontinued out of court. PCN cancelled.....	51
Using the understatement 'NOT' with a self-defeating periphrastic.....	51
Subsequently using the 3 rd conditional to avoid apologising.....	51
W v Lloyds Bank: High Court.....	51
Basing conclusions on evidence <i>MADE</i> available, behind corporate veil.....	51
W v Camden. CLCC, Sworn testimony masking whole truth by anacoluthon.....	51
In the procedure. Possession of the Town Hall was Ordered to the Claimant.....	51
Meiosis to make continuous mens rea & actus reus, into A procedural error.....	51
W v Met Police & CPS. Central London Magistrates Court.....	51
Bending facts to fit an inculpatory rule. Ordered to pay my costs.....	51
W v Npower Ltd . CLCC Lost 1 years energy supplies.....	51
Another sworn truth with contradictio in adiecto / terminis & time-lining.....	51
W v Rob. Highbury Magistrates Court, 6 months custodial.....	51
W v Amtrak. £8 Privity Case, lost £1200 costs, for £8, & ceased trading	51
Failed to prevent a class action Privity rule defence defeated,.....	51
W v LGO . They Discontinued out of court.....	51
Using anhypophora as a misdirect.....	51
W v Telewest, Cable London. CCBC, £1 case dismissed.....	52
W v Hotpoint / Spratt Endicott. They Withdrew in pre-action after 3 days.....	52
Attempted Charge for warranty repair inside warranty after expiry.....	52
CABOT – with HASSLE & SAINSBURY'S BANK v WINTER.....	52
12 Cases - Preamble – Their Self PRAISE – Their Claim – The Law	

W v BCM Ltd. CLCC – Justice Centre.

Sworn testimony defeated by 1 question using contradictio in adiecto.

Defendant's cost for day, Witness, Solicitor & Barrister, estimated £5k.

5 **W v A World Bank, signed Non-Disclosure out of court.**

Automated telephone Harassment for £50.

Claim not issued, Settled for about £1600

W v TfL . Discontinued out of court. PCN cancelled.

10 **Using the understatement 'NOT' with a self-defeating periphrastic.**

Subsequently using the 3rd conditional to avoid apologising.

W v Lloyds Bank: High Court

Basing conclusions on evidence MADE available, behind corporate veil.

15

W v Camden. CLCC, Sworn testimony masking whole truth by anacoluthon.

In the procedure. Possession of the Town Hall was Ordered to the Claimant.

Meiosis to make continuous mens rea & actus reus, into A procedural error.

20 **W v Met Police & CPS. Central London Magistrates Court.**

Bending facts to fit an inculpatory rule. Ordered to pay my costs.

W v Npower Ltd . CLCC Lost 1 years energy supplies.

Another sworn truth with contradictio in adiecto / terminis & time-lining.

25

W v Rob. Highbury Magistrates Court, 6 months custodial.

W v Amtrak. £8 Privity Case, lost £1200 costs, for £8, & ceased trading ..

Failed to prevent a class action Privity rule defence defeated,

30

W v LGO . They Discontinued out of court.

Using anthypophora as a misdirect.

W v Telewest, Cable London. CCBC, £1 case dismissed.

**W v Hotpoint / Spratt Endicott. They Withdrew in pre-action after 3 days.
Attempted Charge for warranty repair inside warranty after expiry.**

5

ALL THE CLAIMS ABOVE WERE with WINTER AS THE CLAIMANT.

CABOT – with HASSLE & SAINSBURY’S BANK v WINTER

**Cabot Financial Ltd., Wright & Hassall LLP & Sainsbury's Bank v Defendant’s
ATTORNEY – Winter.**

10

**THE NEXT: WINTER - ATTORNEY, defending a claim involving 3 parties.
By common Disparate Predication with Scientific Classification Systems
totally replaced by Agenda Mislabelling. Where **Customer Care** is an
ANTONYM for CUSTOMER DETRIMENTS called AWARDS.**

15

In fact customer! Couldn’t care less.

A SELF-DESCRIBED -CALLED 1 - Debt Collector,

& 2 - Legal Firm of 296 Professional Negligence Experts

[Experts in being Professionally Negligent] all RELYING on

20

3 - Sainsbury's Bank.

Whose Self stated policy is

A service of quality

**We place paramount importance on customer service and aim to meet your expectations
on every occasion. Note their aim – is carefully missed & misdirected. All by antonyms.**

25

**All claims defeated by simple Logical fallacies of Irrelevant refutation &
Self Contradictions, in front of an upright honourable Judge.**

List of FACTS ‘STATED as TRUE’ controverted by the FACTS themselves.

Issuing unverified Claim for truth, by an abstract entity that cannot verify.

**Their games of WORDS in fact mood that consists of meanings in thought
mood, modified by being sworn by NEUTER ENTITIES, Personified as IF
they had hands to sign a SWORN STATEMENT of TRUTH by
dissimulation.**

30

Cabot Financial Ltd, Wright & Hassall LLP relying on alleged Sainsbury’s

Credit card So-called *agreement* all by *pre-supposition* language, unverified for truth without any evidence, initially ruled in default Judgement by self-contradiction, set aside, then struck out. A traversal of FALSITIES re-presented as TRUTH.

5 This is an insight as to HOW '*Professional Negligence Legal Experts*' are Professionally Negligent & Experts in dissimulating unverified claims without evidence all presented as IF THEY BE facts simply by fact mood language. Circular argument forms disambiguated 2350 years ago as **one of three** simple laws of thought.

10 **THIS SIMPLE LAW OF THOUGHT, DISCLOSES PERJURY UNDER OATH, IT IS DISCLOSED LOGIC, AND ANTI-LOGICAL LANGUAGE THE SIMPLE LAW OF NON-CONTRADICTION. ITS USE HERE WAS AN ATTEMPT TO USURP THE POWERS OF A COURT, DELIVERING MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE AS IF THEY BE JUST.**

15 Examine their self representations, and observed their thoughts lying behind their expressions. In a short space of time, where:

TIME SHALL UNFOLD WHAT PLIGHTED CUNNING HIDES,
WHO **COVERS** FAULTS AT LAST WITH SHAME DERIDES. King Lear.

20 After disclosing 2 preliminaries, Winter had some 20 Contradictions proving perjured statements, and only needed ONE to disclose to the Judges extreme disgust, after which the case was **struck out**.

25 After ten months of Professional Negligence in producing a **so-called** Witness Statement of false truth, served on parties a few days before the hearing, the 20 contradictions virtually doubled.

The complete DISCLOSURE of ONE LINE of the defence Page 1, was to be disclosed in stages **AFTER** they discharged what they called their burden of **Proof – without Truth** or disclosures, in their **simulation** of TRUTH, contrary to the CPR rules with their pun on on *swearing*.

30 **[12 Cases - Preamble – Their Self PRAISE – Their Claim – The Law](#)**